

ALUMNI'S FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the Alumni *

Dr. Anil Kumar Singh

2. ID Number *

A-2596/02/04

3. Batch (Year)

2002-2008

4. Course/ Degree Programme

M Sc. & Ph. D.

5. Email ID *

singhanil80@gmail.com

6. Mobile Number *

9800932210

7. Provision of sufficient choices for the students in selecting courses *

a. Yes

b. No

8. Availability of Interdisciplinary courses *

a. Yes

b. No

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

a. Poor;

b. Average;

c. Good;

d. Very Good;

e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Quality of the course curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average
- c. Good
- d. Very Good
- e. Excellent

11. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Whether curriculum has encouraged to take higher studies in the interest areas *

- a. Yes
- b. No

15. Whether course duration was sufficient to complete the curriculum *

- a. Yes
- b. No

16. Conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Any suggestion

Educational tour need to be conducted each year for students of UG, PG and Ph. D. Scholars.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI'S FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the Alumni *

Ved Prakash

2. ID Number *

A82 M32

3. Batch (Year)

1979

4. Course/ Degree Programme

B.Sc(Ag) & M.Sc(Ag)

5. Email ID *

vedprakash.ndu1961@gmail.com

6. Mobile Number *

9450763842

7. Provision of sufficient choices for the students in selecting courses *

a. Yes

b. No

8. Availability of Interdisciplinary courses *

a. Yes

b. No

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

a. Poor;

b. Average;

c. Good;

d. Very Good;

e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Quality of the course curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average
- c. Good
- d. Very Good
- e. Excellent

11. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Whether curriculum has encouraged to take higher studies in the interest areas *

- a. Yes
- b. No

15. Whether course duration was sufficient to complete the curriculum *

- a. Yes
- b. No

16. Conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Any suggestion

Vacant posts should be filled soon for better results

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI'S FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the Alumni *

Dr. Deepak Kumar

2. ID Number *

A-5638/10

3. Batch (Year)

2010-2011

4. Course/ Degree Programme

PhD (Ag. Biotechnology)

5. Email ID *

deepakbiotechdr@gmail.com

6. Mobile Number *

8755347743

7. Provision of sufficient choices for the students in selecting courses *

a. Yes

b. No

8. Availability of Interdisciplinary courses *

a. Yes

b. No

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

a. Poor;

b. Average;

c. Good;

d. Very Good;

e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Quality of the course curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average
- c. Good
- d. Very Good
- e. Excellent

11. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Whether curriculum has encouraged to take higher studies in the interest areas *

- a. Yes
- b. No

15. Whether course duration was sufficient to complete the curriculum *

- a. Yes
- b. No

16. Conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Any suggestion

Courses should be modified according to industrial demand and entrepreneurship development as Agri-startup

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

ALUMNI'S FEEDBACK FORM

for course curriculum

1. Name of the Alumni *

vineet kumar singh

2. ID Number *

A-1753/96

3. Batch (Year)

1998-2002

4. Course/ Degree Programme

BScAg

5. Email ID *

singh.vineet830@gmail.com

6. Mobile Number *

9839933470

7. Provision of sufficient choices for the students in selecting courses *

a. Yes

b. No

8. Availability of Interdisciplinary courses *

a. Yes

b. No

9. Adequacy of the curriculum *

a. Poor;

b. Average;

c. Good;

d. Very Good;

e. Excellent.

10. Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

10. Quality of the course curriculum *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average
- c. Good
- d. Very Good
- e. Excellent

11. Availability of instructional hours *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

12. Easy availability of study materials *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

13. Worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

14. Whether curriculum has encouraged to take higher studies in the interest areas *

- a. Yes
- b. No

15. Whether course duration was sufficient to complete the curriculum *

- a. Yes
- b. No

16. Conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment *

- a. Poor;
- b. Average;
- c. Good;
- d. Very Good;
- e. Excellent.

17. Any suggestion

annually alumini meet is highly required for development of carrier of university students.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms